

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,621		10/10/2001	Brian B. Lee	P-8779.01	9409
27581	7590	06/08/2004		EXAMINER	
MEDTRO	NIC, INC	•	EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT		
710 MEDTI MS-LC340	RONIC PA	ARKWAY NE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-5604				3762	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				B			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	W			
, <i>1</i>		09/975,621	LEE ET AL.				
, -	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		George R Evanisko	3762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address -				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dated period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thy period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicates BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>04 March 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	tters, prosecution as to the merit	s is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 35-80 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are vectorial claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 35, 37-47, 49-51, 53-63, 65-67  Claim(s) 36,48,52,64 and 68 is/are objection are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.  7. 69-80 is/are rejected.  cted to.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	J., ,					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
2)  Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/975,621

Art Unit: 3762

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/4/04 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 35, 37, 47, 49-51, 53, 63, 65-67, 69, 79, and 80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson et al (5908392).

Application/Control Number: 09/975,621

Art Unit: 3762

Wilson discloses the claimed invention except for the electrogram signal to be obtained via at least a pair of subcutaneous electrodes spaced from the heart. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in or to modify the electrogram sensing system as taught by Wilson, with a pair of subcutaneous electrodes spaced from the heart, with the electrogram signal to be obtained via at least the pair of subcutaneous electrodes spaced from the heart since it was known in the art that electrogram sensing systems use at least a pair of subcutaneous electrodes spaced from the heart to obtain the electrogram signal so the signal is free from residual pacing after-potentials.

In the alternative, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the electrogram sensing system as taught by Wilson with the electrogram signal to be obtained via at least a pair of subcutaneous electrodes spaced from the heart, because Applicant has not disclosed that the electrogram signal to be obtained via at least a pair of subcutaneous electrodes spaced from the heart provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the electrogram to be obtained by sensing leads in the heart as taught by Wilson, because the leads provide easily sampled and easily processed signals from the heart. In addition, the applicants specification states on pages 17, 21, and 28 that the system can be used in pacemakers and with leads.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Wilson to obtain the invention as specified in the claim(s).

Claims 38-46, 54-62, and 70-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,621

Art Unit: 3762

Wilson discloses the claimed invention except for the steps, instructions, or means for compressing ECG signals prior to recording, for recording noise trigger signals in the ECG data record, and for parsing and displaying waveforms and icons of the trigger and/or noise signals from the ECG signals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the recording and storage system as taught by Wilson, with the steps, instructions, or means for compressing ECG signals prior to recording, for recording noise trigger signals in the ECG data record, and for parsing and displaying waveforms and icons of the trigger and/or noise signals from the ECG signals since it was known in the art that recording and storage systems use steps, instructions, or means for: compressing ECG signals prior to recording to increase the amount of data that can be recorded; recording noise trigger signals in the ECG data record to determine where the ECG data may be invalid; and parsing and displaying waveforms and icons of the trigger and/or noise signals from the ECG signals so the physician can determine if the system is operating correctly, to analyze all the data at the same time, and/or to determine where arrhythmias may have started or where the ECG signal is invalid due to noise.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 36, 48, 52, 64, and 68 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by amendment. In addition, Yomtov et al

Art Unit: 3762

(5313953) and Bennett et al (5331966) are two teachings of many showing the use of subcutaneous electrodes spaced from the heart to obtain the electrogram signal. In addition, Bennett et al shows the use of SubQ electrodes on a pacemaker.

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R Evanisko whose telephone number is 703 308-2612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> George R Evanisko **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3762

GRE

June 5, 2004